# LETTERS

## Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Esterification via C–C Bond Cleavage of a Secondary Homoallyl Alcohol

Yong Wang and Qiang Kang\*

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 155 Yangqiao Road West, Fuzhou, 350002, China

#### **Supporting Information**

**ABSTRACT:** Palladium-catalyzed allylic esterifications of secondary homoallyl alcohols with acids via sequential retroallylation and esterification are demonstrated, affording the corresponding allyl ester in up to 99% yield. The electron effect of the substituent of the secondary alcohol was found to be crucial to the selective C–C bond cleavage.



ransition-metal-catalyzed C–C bond activation has been an emerging area which provides new modes of chemical reactivity to synthetic organic chemistry. The strategies mainly involving three- or four-membered ring strain release,<sup>1</sup> aromatization,<sup>2</sup> and chelation assistance<sup>3</sup> have been reported to activate inert C-C bonds.<sup>4</sup> However, activation of sp<sup>3</sup>Csp<sup>3</sup>C in unstrained molecules is less reported.<sup>5</sup> Tertiary homoallyl alcohols were successfully applied as substrates for selective unstrained sp<sup>3</sup>C-sp<sup>3</sup>C bond cleavage via retroallylation,<sup>6,7</sup> forming a stable  $\pi$ -allyl metal intermediate which is suitable for subsequent transformation with aryl halides,<sup>8</sup> aldehydes,<sup>9</sup> cinnamyl acetate,<sup>10</sup> and acrylate ester.<sup>11</sup> In comparison, secondary homoallyl alcohols have rarely been employed in such transformations.<sup>9</sup> There have been a few reports with respect to C-C cleavage of secondary alcohols.<sup>12</sup> For instance, Chiba's group reported azide assisting the C-C bond cleavage of cyclic secondary 2-azidoalcohols.<sup>5b</sup> Shi and coworkers demonstrated some examples of C-C bond cleavage of secondary alcohols through Rh(III)-catalyzed  $\beta$ -carbon elimination with the pyridinyl group as a directing group.<sup>13</sup>

On the other hand, Pd-catalyzed allylic esterification has always been a challenging research area due to the high reactivity problem of the resulting allylic esters toward the metal catalysts.<sup>14</sup> Installation of a prefunctionalized group at the allylic position for the generation of a key  $\pi$ -allyl Pd intermediate is a prerequisite in traditional allylic esterification (Tsuji–Trost reaction).<sup>15</sup> The functionalized groups include carbonate,<sup>14a,16</sup> ester,<sup>14b,17</sup> chlorine,<sup>14d,18</sup> and phosphate<sup>19</sup> (Scheme 1a). Oxidative allylic C–H bond esterification has also been realized in recent years (Scheme 1b).<sup>20</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, transition-metal-catalyzed allylic esterification via selective C–C bond cleavage has not been documented.

Herein we report an unprecedented Pd(II)-catalyzed sequential selective C–C bond cleavage of a secondary homoallyl alcohol and esterification with acids as nucleophiles (Scheme 1c). The major challenge in cleaving the C–C bond adjacent to a secondary alcohol is to suppress  $\beta$ -H elimination

Scheme 1.  $\pi$ -Allyl Pd Formation and Esterification (a) Traditional Allylic Esterification

$$R \xrightarrow{Y - Pd(0)} R \xrightarrow{Nu^{-}} R \xrightarrow{Nu^{-}} Pd(0)$$

Y = carbonate, ester, chlorine and phosphate ...

(b) Oxidative Allylic C-H Bond Esterification

$$R \xrightarrow{Pd(II)} R \xrightarrow{Nu^{-}} R \xrightarrow{Nu^{-}} Pd(0)$$

(c) This work: Oxidative Allylic C-C Bond Esterification

$$R \xrightarrow{OH} \frac{Pd(II)}{R} \xrightarrow{Of} \frac{Pd(II)}{R} \xrightarrow{Pd(II)} \xrightarrow{retro-allylation} Pd \xrightarrow{Nu^{-}} \xrightarrow{Nu^{+}} Pd(0)$$

ovidation

which is the typical transformation of secondary alcohols in transition metal catalytic systems.<sup>21</sup> This strategy would provide a new model for allylic substitution reaction.

We initiated our studies by examining the reactivity of  $\beta$ phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (**2a**) with 4-methylbenzoic acid (**1a**) in the presence of 10 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and 1.2 equiv of Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in toluene. To our delight, the reaction went smoothly at 100 °C for 24 h to afford the desired allyl 4-methylbenzoate (**3aa**) in 44% GC yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other oxidants such as AgF, AgOAc, Ag<sub>2</sub>O, and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> were found to be less efficient for the reaction, and lower yields of **3aa** were obtained (Table 1, entries 2–5, and Supporting Information Table S1). Then we investigated various solvents including DMSO, DMF, ODCB (1,2-dichlorobenzene), and PhCF<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entries 6–9). While all the tested solvents could be tolerated, the reaction in ODCB gave the superior yield (57%) (Table 1,

 Received:
 June 30, 2014

 Published:
 July 30, 2014

#### Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>

Me	OH + OH	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ( solvent,	(10 mol %) 1.2 equiv) 100 °C	J'~~
	1a 2a			3aa
entry	oxidant	solvent	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	toluene	24	44
2	AgF	toluene	24	12
3	AgOAc	toluene	24	15
4	Ag <sub>2</sub> O	toluene	28	20
5	$Cu(OAc)_2$	toluene	29	9
6	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DMSO	33	32
7	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DMF	33	19
8	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	ODCB <sup>f</sup>	50	57
9	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	PhCF <sub>3</sub>	50	56
10 <sup>c</sup>	Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	ODCB	50	$80(77)^{e}$
$11^{d}$	$Ag_2CO_3$	ODCB	24	$91(88)^{e}$

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), 2a (0.6 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), oxidant (0.36 mmol), solvent (2 mL). <sup>*b*</sup>GC yield using dodecane as internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup>Oxidant (0.9 mmol). <sup>*d*</sup>2a (0.9 mmol), oxidant (0.9 mmol). <sup>*e*</sup>Isolated yield in parentheses. <sup>*f*</sup>ODCB = 1,2-dichlorobenzene.

entry 8). Further investigation revealed that increasing the amount of  $Ag_2CO_3$  (3 equiv) and 2a (3 equiv) could deliver the best yield of 3aa (88% isolated yield) (Table 1, entry 11).

Under the optimal reaction conditions (10 mol % of  $Pd(OAc)_2$ , 3 equiv of  $Ag_2CO_3$ , 3 equiv of 2a, ODCB (0.15) M), 100 °C) (Table 1, entry 11), a variety of acids 1 were examined to evaluate the generality of the reaction. The results were summarized in Scheme 2. First, different substituted benzoic acids were tested. In general, benzoic acids bearing an alkyl group on different positions of the phenyl ring could be tolerated in reaction conditions (58%-88%, 3aa-3ae). For the substrates with an electron-withdrawing (3ag, 3ah) and electron-donating group (3ai, 3an and 3ao) on the phenyl ring, the reaction went smoothly to afford the desired products in good yields. It is worth noting that various functionalities including acetyl (3aj), acetoxyl (3ak), nitrile (3al), and formyl (3am) groups were tolerated in optimal reaction conditions. Moreover, benzoylformic acid worked well, affording allyl benzoylformate 3ap in 83% yield. This procedure also proceeded smoothly with naphthoic acid 3ar and 3as as substrates. We further examined aliphatic acid as substrates and found all of them deliver corresponding products in good yields (3at-3ay). Heterocyclic carboxylic acid gave product 3aq in a lower yield (33%) due to part of the substrate decomposing under the reaction conditions.

The reactivities of a variety of homoallyl alcohols were further surveyed (Table 2). Our studies indicated an electronrich aromatic substituent improved the reactivity of the C–C bond cleavage.<sup>22</sup> Under the optimal reaction conditions, by employing 2,4-dimethoxy substituent **2b** as a substrate, **3aa** was obtained in 99% GC yield. In light of this observation, we attempted to reduce the consumption of **2** and Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction of **1a** with 1.2 equiv of **2b** afforded the corresponding product **3aa** in 99% yield (Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 2.0 equiv) and 93% yield (Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 1.4 equiv), respectively (Table 2, entry 1). These findings revealed that  $\beta$ -H elimination was well suppressed when the substrate with an electron-rich aromatic ring adjacent to the hydroxyl group was employed. Further substrate investigation was conducted under these reaction conditions:



"Reaction conditions: 1 (0.3 mmol), 2a (0.9 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.9 mmol), ODCB (2 mL), 24 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yield.

Table 2. Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Retro-Allylation and Esterification of 2 with Acid  $1a^{a}$ 

Me ta	R R 2	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (10 mol %) Ag <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (1.4 equiv) ODCB, 100 <sup>o</sup> C	Me Jaa
entry		<b>2</b> /R	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	2b/2,4-(0	$OMe)_2 - C_6H_3$	99 (93) <sup>c</sup>
2	2c/4-0N	Ie-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	71
3 $2d/2,3,4-(OMe)_3-C_6H_2$			72
4 $2e/3,4,5-(OMe)_3-C_6H_2$			59
5	<b>2f</b> /2,4,6-	$(OMe)_3$ -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	9
6	$_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}$	8	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Reaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), 2 (0.36 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.42 mmol), ODCB (2 mL), 24 h. <sup>b</sup>GC yield using dodecane as internal standard. <sup>c</sup>Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.6 mmol).

10 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 1.4 equiv of  $Ag_2CO_3$ , 1.2 equiv of 2, ODCB (0.15 M), 100 °C. When the phenyl ring was *p*methoxy substituted (2c), 2,3,4-trimethoxy substituted (2d), and 3,4,5-trimethoxy substituted (2e), slightly decreased yields were afforded (Table 2, entries 2–4). The substrate 2f with a 2,4,6-trimethoxy substituent on the phenyl ring only gave a 9% GC yield mainly due to the steric hindrance effect (Table 2,

#### **Organic Letters**

entry 5). Substrate **2g** with a nitro group barely provided product in 8% yield (Table 2, entry 6).

A controlled experiment was conducted with allyl ketone 4 instead of allyl alcohol **2a** to investigate whether the oxidation product 4 via  $\beta$ -H elimination from a secondary homoallyl alcohol could be transferred to desired product **3aa**. The result showed no desired product was obtained under such reaction conditions, which ruled out the possibility of generating desired product **3aa** from the byproduct **4** (Scheme 3).

### Scheme 3. Reaction between 1a and 4 under the Optimal Reaction Conditions



Based on the above studies, a plausible mechanism has been proposed for this Pd(II)-catalyzed sequential C-C bond cleavage of a secondary homoallyl alcohol and esterification reaction (Scheme 4). Before the Pd(II) catalyst coordinates

Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanism



with secondary homoallyl alcohol **2** to form the intermediate **A**, Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> might act as a base to facilitate the deprotonation of **2**.<sup>8a</sup>  $\pi$ -allyl Pd intermediate **B** or **C** was generated from **A** via retro-allylation. Subsequently, the intermediate **B** underwent esterification with acid **1** to form the desired product **3**. Pd(0) was formed via reductive elimination from intermediate **D**. The Pd(II) species, oxidized by Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, was regenerated for the next catalytic cycle.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the  $Pd(OAc)_2$  catalzyed allylic esterification of secondary homoallyl alcohols with acids via sequential retro-allylation and esterification, affording a corresponding allyl ester in good yields. In this transformation, a C–C bond was selectively cleaved preferentially from electron-rich aromatic substituted homoallyl alcohols. A plausible Pd(II)/Pd(0) mechanism was proposed. This strategy provides a new concept to generate a  $\pi$ -allyl Pd

intermediate via C–C bond cleavage for the allylic substitution reaction. Further studies on other transformations based on this concept are ongoing in our laboratory, and the results will be reported in due course.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

Procedures and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: kangq@fjirsm.ac.cn.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21302183) and the "Strategic Priority Research Program" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA09030102) for financial support. We thank Prof. Weiping Su from Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing GC support.

#### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. **1985**, 85, 245. (b) Seiser, T.; Cramer, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2009**, 7, 2835. (c) Winter, C.; Krause, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 2460.

(2) Halcrow, M. A.; Urbanos, F.; Chaudret, B. Organometallics 1993, 12, 955.

(3) (a) Jones, W. D. Nature 1993, 364, 676. (b) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1759. (c) Jun, C. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 610. (d) Miura, M.; Satoh, T. In Palladium in Organic Synthesis; Tsuji, J., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Germany, 2005; Vol. 14, p 1.

(4) For reviews: (a) Rybtchinski, B.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 870. (b) Murakami, M.; Ito, Y. In Activation of Unreactive Bonds and Organic Synthesis; Murai, S., Alper, H., Gossage, R. A., Grushin, V. V., Hidai, M., Ito, Y., Jones, W. D., Kakiuchi, F., van Koten, G., Lin, Y. S., Mizobe, Y., Murai, S., Murakami, M., Richmond, T. G., Sen, A., Suginome, M., Yamamoto, A., Eds.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Germany, 1999; Vol. 3, p 97. (c) Nishimura, T.; Uemura, S. Synlett 2004, 2004, 0201. (d) Necas, D.; Kotora, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 1566. (e) Bonesi, S. M.; Fagnoni, M. Chem.— Eur. J. 2010, 16, 13572. (f) Murakami, M.; Matsuda, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1100. (g) Aïssa, C. Synthesis 2011, 2011, 3389. (h) Dong, G. Synlett 2013, 24, 1.

(5) For some examples: (a) Dugal, M.; Sankar, G.; Raja, R.; Thomas, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2310. (b) Chiba, S.; Xu, Y.-J.; Wang, Y.-F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12886. (c) Liu, Z.-Q.; Zhao, L.; Shang, X.; Cui, Z. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3218. (d) Kurcoń, S.; Proinsias, K. ó.; Gryko, D. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4115.

(6) Recent reviews: (a) Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2009, 82, 778. (b) Ruhland, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 2683.

(7) Selected examples: (a) Terao, Y.; Wakui, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10407. (b) Wakui, H.; Kawasaki, S.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8658. (c) Nishimura, T.; Katoh, T.; Hayashi, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4937. (d) Chai, Z.; Rainey, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3615.

(8) (a) Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2210. (b) Iwasaki, M.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4463.
(c) Iwasaki, M.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. (9) (a) Loh, T.-P.; Ken Lee, C.-L.; Tan, K.-T. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2985. (b) Fujita, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3302. (c) Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3577. (d) Takada, Y.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2515. (e) Yanagisawa, A.; Aoki, T.; Arai, T. Synlett 2006, 2006, 2071. (f) Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 505. (g) Shintani, R.; Takatsu, K.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1191. (h) Sumida, Y.; Takada, Y.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Chem.—Asian J. 2008, 3, 119. (i) Miura, H.; Wada, K.; Hosokawa, S.; Sai, M.; Kondo, T.; Inoue, M. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4112. (j) Sai, M.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3294.

(10) Sumida, Y.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Org. Lett. **2008**, *10*, 1629.

(11) Jang, M.; Hayashi, S.; Hirano, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 4003.

(12) (a) Takezawa, E.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 713.
(b) Jun, C.-H.; Lee, D.-Y.; Kim, Y.-H.; Lee, H. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2928. (c) Kimura, M.; Mori, M.; Tamaru, Y. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4504. (d) Han, C.; Uemura, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6988. (e) Wang, A.; Jiang, H. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2321. (f) Kim, S. M.; Kim, D. W.; Yang, J. W. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2876.

(13) (a) Li, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, X. S.; Chen, K.; Wang, X.; Shi, Z. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15244. (b) Chen, K.; Li, H.; Lei, Z. Q.; Li, Y.; Ye, W. H.; Zhang, L. S.; Sun, J.; Shi, Z. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9851. (c) Chen, K.; Li, H.; Li, Y.; Zhang, X.-S.; Lei, Z.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1645. (d) Zhang, X. S.; Li, Y.; Li, H.; Chen, K.; Lei, Z. Q.; Shi, Z. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 16214.

(14) (a) Trost, B. M.; Organ, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10320. (b) Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2866. (c) Geurts, K.; Fletcher, S. P.; Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15572. (d) Kanbayashi, N.; Onitsuka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1206.

(15) For reviews: (a) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395. (b) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2921. (c) Tsuji, J. Transition Metal Reagents and Catalysts; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 2003; p 109.

(16) Ueda, M.; Hartwig, J. F. Org. Lett. 2009, 12, 92.

(17) (a) Trost, B. M.; Patterson, D. E.; Hembre, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10834. (b) Cannon, J. S.; Kirsch, S. F.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15185.

(18) Takii, K.; Kanbayashi, N.; Onitsuka, K. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3872.

(19) Qu, J.; Rossberg, L.; Helmchen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1272.

(20) For reviews: (a) Liu, G.; Wu, Y. In C-H Activation; Yu, J.-Q., Shi, Z., Eds.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 2010; Vol. 292, p 195. (b) Li, H.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2011, 1, 191. Selected examples: (c) Hansson, S.; Heumann, A.; Rein, T.; Aakermark, B. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 975. (d) Grennberg, H.; Simon, V.; Backvall, J.-E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 265. (e) Jia, C.; Müller, P.; Mimoun, H. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1995, 101, 127. (f) Chen, M. S.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1346. (g) Chen, M. S.; Prabagaran, N.; Labenz, N. A.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6970. (h) Delcamp, J. H.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15076. (i) Fraunhoffer, K. J.; Prabagaran, N.; Sirois, L. E.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9032. (j) Mitsudome, T.; Umetani, T.; Nosaka, N.; Mori, K.; Mizugaki, T.; Ebitani, K.; Kaneda, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 481. (k) Covell, D. J.; White, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6448. (1) Campbell, A. N.; White, P. B.; Guzei, I. A.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15116. (m) Vermeulen, N. A.; Delcamp, J. H.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11323. (n) Gormisky, P. E.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12584. (o) Takenaka, K.; Akita, M.; Tanigaki, Y.; Takizawa, S.; Sasai, H. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 3506. (p) Shi, E.; Shao, Y.; Chen, S.; Hu, H.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wan, X. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3384.

(21) (a) Marko, I. E.; Giles, P. R.; Tsukazaki, M.; Brown, S. M.; Urch, C. J. Science **1996**, 274, 2044. (b) Peterson, K. P.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 3185. (c) Schultz, M. J.; Park, C. C.; Sigman, M. S. Chem. Commun. **2002**, 3034.

(22) (a) Zhao, P.; Incarvito, C. D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 3124. (b) Zhao, P.; Hartwig, J. F. Organometallics **2008**, 27, 4749. (c) Cramer, N.; Seiser, T.; Cathomen, G. Synlett **2010**, 2010, 1699.